XX. 1—10. ST. JOIN, 631   
   
 know not where they have laid him. 3 Peter therefore   
   
 went forth, and 2 that other disciple, and \*came to the   
 sepulchre. 4b§o they ran both together: and the other   
 disciple did outrun Peter, and came first to the sepul-   
 chre, 5and [¢ Ze] stooping down and looking in, saw the bch. xix.40,   
   
 linen clothes lying; yet went he not in. 6 Then 4 cometh   
 Simon Peter following him, and went into the sepulchre,   
 and seeth the linen clothes f Zie, 7and °the napkin, that ¢e.x.4.   
 was 8 about his head, not lying with the linen clothes, but   
   
 wrapped together in a place by itself. Sh Then went in   
   
 also i that other disciple, which came first to the scpulchre,   
 and he saw, and believed. 9 For as yet they knew not   
 “the scripture, that he must rise again from the dead.   
 10& Then the disciples went away again unto their own Ps, xvi.10.   
 Acts ii.25   
 home. 31: xiii.   
 35.   
 2 render, the. a reader, they went toward.   
 b render, And © omit.   
 4 render, cometh also. render, beholdeth.   
 f render, lying. & render, upon.   
 h ender, Then therefore. i render, the.   
   
 k vender, So.   
 describes the exhaustive gaze of Peter, who   
 Mark, Luke). Does this appear in the did. Notice also that John, when he   
 plural verb, “we know not where they stooped and looked in, saw only the linen   
 have laid Him,” below? This is not, as clothes, which seem to have been lying   
 Meyer says, precluded by the use of “I where the Feet were, nearer the entrance,   
 know not” in ver. 13. Mary there speaks whereas Peter, on going in, saw the napkin,   
 in her own person, which she might do, how- which was perhaps deposited further in,   
 ever accompanied. Still, not. She near the place of the Head. 8. he   
 perhaps uses the plural, as involving all saw, and believed] Nothing is said of   
 disciples in own feeling of ignorance and Peter—did he believe too? I think not;   
 of consequent sorrow. So Meyer: and it —and that John modestly suppresses it.   
 is more natural to take it thus. One thing But what did John believe? Wasit merely,   
 we may conclude for certain, that she, for that the Body had been taken away, as   
 some reason, did not see’ the vision related Mary had reported (Bengel and others) ?   
 in the three other Gospels. 3.) St. Surely not; the facts which he saw would   
 Luke, ver. 12, speaks only of going. prevent this conclusion: nor does John   
 4—8.] Full of most interesting and so use the word believe. He believed   
 characteristic detail. John, probably the that Jesus was risen from the dead. He   
 younger, outruns Peter ;—but when there, received into his mind, embraced with his   
 reverently (not for fear of pollution, as assent, THE FACT OF THE RESURREC-   
 some have thought) abstains from enter- TIoN, for the first time. He did this, on   
 ing the sepulchre. The ardent and impe- the ocular testimony before him ; for as   
 tuous Peter goes directly in—John follows yet neither of them knew the Scripture,   
 —and believes. What can exceed the inner so as to be previously convinced of the   
 truth of this description? And what is certaiuty that it would he so. But (see   
 not related is as full of truth as that above) Peter does not seem to have as yet   
 which is. For, vv. 6, 7, we seem to hear received this fact;—accounting probably   
 the very voice of Peter describing to his for what he saw as Mary had done. Lampe   
 companion the inner state of the tomb. beautifully says, ‘We conclnde that from   
 On the napkin, see ch. xi. 44 and this moment, in the gloom of the sepulchre,   
 note. seeth represents the original the mind of John was enlightened by saving   
 word used of the cursory glance of John, faith in the Resurrection of Jesus, as with   
 who did not go in,—beholdeth, that which